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# NATIONAL ENGINEERING DESIGN COMPETITION (NEDC)

# 2016-2017

**Prosthetic Arm Challenge 2.1**

**Overview**

In order to maximize each team’s experience during this event, it is important to properly execute all aspects of the testing process and event administration. Although each MESA state may elect to present this event in different format(s), the MESA USA host site and the corresponding National Event Planning Committee will be required to adhere to the processes outlined below. Please note that the following processes not only outline the event but also the roles and responsibilities of student team members and advisors.

**MESA USA Code of Sportsmanship**

During the course of this event, MESA students, staff, advisors and supporting family members will be expected to act in a professional and courteous manner at all times. All judges’ decisions are final. Staff, advisors and parents shall not engage judges during the event.
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**MESA USA**

**NATIONAL ENGINEERING DESIGN COMPETITION
PROSTHETIC ARM CHALLENGE 2.1**

**2016-2017**

**Competition Overview**

MESA USA presents the engineering design competition specifications for the 2016-2017 year. The Prosthetic Arm Challenge 2.1 involves the development of a low-cost prosthetic device with integrated computer science components to complete a set of predefined tasks. High school and middle school teams selected to participate at the national event will compete in the three components below:

1. **Performance –** Teams will research, design, build, test and compete using a prosthesis designed to complete the following tasks:
	1. Distance Accuracy Task: greatest distance and accuracy achieved by throwing bean bags into the *Target Zone* in the fastest time.
	2. Object Relocation Task: fastest time achieved by placing objects into and removing objects from the specified container.
	3. Dexterity Task: greatest number of bolts and nuts correctly placed and secured onto the testing device in the fastest time.
	4. Design efficiency: greatest ratio of device performance to device mass plus greatest ratio of device performance to total cost of materials.

Middle school teams will compete in tasks “a”, “b” and “d”. High school teams will compete in tasks “a”, “b”, “c”, and “d”.

1. **Technical Paper** – Teams will submit a 5-15 page technical paper which examines all aspects of their design process, all major design choices and related STEM concepts.
2. **Academic Poster** Presentation - Teams will present academic posters to a panel of judges and will then respond to judges’ questions. The posters should include items such as data (e.g., charts, tables and graphs), photographs, drawings, other ideas, and any necessary written explanations which help to explain their final design’s features and quality, including incorporation of Arduino programming and components.

Each competing team must consist of 2-4 students who are active members of a MESA center program in a MESA USA state. Individual states should encourage their respective teams to participate in all performance components at the statewide level. Individual states will determine the dates and location of their respective events.

The first place middle and high school teams from State events will travel to the national competition. These teams must compete in all tasks listed above. This event is scheduled to occur in **June 2017** hosted by Pennsylvania MESA. Feedback/comments should be submitted via the attached *Activity Feedback Form*.

**Please see the Resource Document for critical information that will help teams successfully meet all competition requirements.**

**Scoring Summary**

Final team rankings will be based on the total score which is derived by adding all of the component scores.

Device Performance 150 points

Device Efficiency

 Greatest ratio of device performance to device mass 25 points

 Greatest ratio of device performance to total cost of materials 25 points

Technical Paper 100 points

Academic Poster Presentation 150 points

**Total Points 450 Points**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | **2016-2017 MESA USA****National Engineering Design Competition****Prosthetic Arm Challenge 2.1** **Device Performance****150 points** |

**Objective**

Teams will build a low-cost Prosthetic Arm for someone who has no use of his/her arm, either through amputation or paralysis. This prosthesis should allow the wearer to use both arms to complete everyday tasks. This will be evidenced by, the device meeting the criteria outlined in the rules and performing the following tasks:

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Middle School | High School |
| (a) Distance Accuracy Task: greatest distance and accuracy achieved by throwing bean bags into the *Target Zone* in the fastest time. *(2 trials)*(b) Object Relocation Task:fastest time achieved by placing objects into and removing objects from the specified container. *(2 trials)* | (a) Distance Accuracy Task: greatest distance and accuracy achieved by throwing bean bags into the *Target Zone* in the fastest time. *(2 trials)*(b) Object Relocation Task:fastest time achieved by placing objects into and removing objects from the specified container. *(2 trials)*(c) Dexterity Task: greatest number of bolts and nuts correctly placed and secured onto the testing device in the fastest time. *(2 trials)* |

##### Both - Design Efficiency – greatest ratio of performance score to device mass plus greatest ratio of performance score to total cost of materials

##### Materials

##### Hazardous materials may not be used in the construction or operation of the device, including but not limited to lead.

##### There will be an $80 pre-tax price limit for materials. Teams may use on-line national retail prices for materials as long as they provide the proper documentation as defined in the rules below.

**Safety**

1. Students must operate their device in a safe manner. The device may only be activated when directed by the judges. Teams using UNSAFE PROCEDURES may have trials disqualified at the discretion of the judges.
2. The device must not pose a danger to students, officials, spectators or cause damage to the host facility, as determined by the judges.

**Inspection, Impound and Operation**

1. Device inspection will take place prior to being impounded for the performance events. Inspection will include demonstration of device operation for all tasks to the judges.
2. Devices must be in testing condition prior to device inspection. If devices do not meet specification check, design changes will not be allowed. Only devices passing inspection will be allowed to participate in the performance tasks.
3. A completed itemized budget sheet with documentation **must** be submitted at inspection. Any device that is over budget or missing complete documentation will be NOT be allowed to compete in the Device Performance.
4. All repair materials and parts to be used during the competition must be impounded with the device. Devices will be released for trials but will remain impounded between tasks.
5. Each device must be ready for competition when called or forfeit that trial.
6. If, during the operation of a device, it is found to violate rules those trials will be disqualified.

**Assigning Points to Performance**

1. The Total Performance Score will be determined by the sum of the points earned in each task.
2. Scores for each task equal the ratio of each device’s performance relative to the winning device’s performance on that task. Those scores are weighted according to the maximum points for each task:

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Middle School Tasks: 75 points each** | **High School Tasks: 50 points each** |
|  | **2016-2017 MESA USA****National Engineering Design Competition****Prosthetic Arm Challenge 2.1** **Device Performance****150 points** |

##### Performance General Rules

1. Teams must design, build and operate their prosthesis.
	1. This prosthetic device must be a generalized tool which includes all parts necessary to accomplish all defined tasks. No parts may be removed and no new parts may be added.
2. The device must have at least two artificial fingers, these fingers:
	1. **MUST** open and close. Only one finger is required to move.
	2. **MUST** grab and release the specific objects for each task. Teams may NOT use any other part of the prosthetic arm or parts of their own hand, wrist or arm to grab or release the objects.
	3. **MUST** be controlled by an Arduino microcontroller which is programmed with the Arduino language (i.e. Arduino IDE, CodeBender, etc.).
3. The device must NOT be operated by either of the team member’s wrists, hands or fingers.
4. During a trial, team members may use their unencumbered hand to hold the bucket, crate, or dexterity testing device. These testing items are allowed to be rotated, but the bottom must remain in contact with the testing surface at all times.
5. Adjustments, including Arduino programming, and repairs are allowed and must be done under supervision of a judge. Repairs are allowed using ONLY duplicate replacement parts and materials. Time for adjustments and repairs will be determined by the host.
6. In order to simulate an amputated arm, participating team members must have their wrist, hand and fingers immobilized for the tasks. The team will determine their own method for immobilization and must demonstrate this for the judges during specification check and impound.
7. For each of the tasks:
	1. A different team member **MUST** perform each trial.
	2. The team will be given up to one minute to prepare, attach, power on and demonstrate its prosthesis, and to prepare the task materials (called the “One-minute Preparation Time”). If at the end of one minute the team is not ready to perform the task, the trial will be declared a mistrial and this process will be repeated for the second trial.
8. Devices cannot exceed the $80 pre-tax price limit for materials.
9. Teams **MUST** submit a completed itemized budget sheet for their device and **MUST** provide documentation to support each and every price listed.
10. The budget must include a list of each and every part and/or material of the prosthesis and its corresponding unit dimensions, retail price, price per unit, quantity used, total cost and retail source.
11. All parts received through barter, trade, donation, recycling, etc. must be included in the itemized budget. Retail prices for these items must be researched and documented.
12. Printed documentation verifying the retail prices (i.e. a store receipt or print out of on-line retail prices) must be attached to the itemized budget sheet for each and every part and material whether purchased or not.
13. The cost will be based only on the actual materials used in the construction of the prosthesis; therefore, teams will need to calculate the cost per unit for their budget. For example, a 1.75 mm ABS Filament SmartReel (240 m) cost $39.99 (for 3-D printing).  This breaks down to $0.167 per meter.  If a team uses 50 meters, the cost would be $8.33.
14. Teams that do not conform to the Performance General Rules will **NOT** be allowed to compete in the device performance, thus resulting in zero points for the device performance.
15. Once competition begins, student teams may not have contact with non-competitors, including but not limited to Advisors, other teachers, and parents. Student teams are solely responsible for interaction with judges and addressing problems with their prosthetic arms.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | **2016-2017 MESA USA****National Engineering Design Competition****Prosthetic Arm Challenge 2.1** **Device Performance****150 points** |

**Performance Task Details -**

* 1. **Distance Accuracy Task – Grab and Toss**
		1. The purpose of this task is to demonstrate the prosthetic arm’s ability to:
		2. Grab an object from inside a container
		3. Lift object vertically
		4. Correctly time the release of the held object
		5. The objective of this task is to successfully throw as many bean bags into the *Target Zone* as fast as possible.

**Test Configurations and Equipment:**

1. A 2 meter square will be marked as the *Working Area*. Only the team member actively participating during the task will be permitted inside this *Working Area*.
	1. One edge will be designated the *Launch Line*. (See Diagram 1)

2 m

2 m

30cm

= Working Area

= Launch Line

= Target Zone

= bucket

**Diagram 1**

***(not to scale)***

2 m

1.5 m

1.25 m

1 m

.75 m

.5 m

= meter stick

1.75 m

1. A step pyramid will be designated the *Target Zone*. (See Diagram 1)
	1. The base of the *Target Zone* will be centered and parallel 2 meters to the *Launch Line*.
	2. The *Target Zone* will be divided into 5 scoring zones. Each scoring zone will be 30 cm in height. The dimensions of each scoring zone are:
		1. Zone 1 = 1.5 m (150 cm) x 30 cm
		2. Zone 2 = 1.25 m (125 cm) x 30 cm
		3. Zone 3 = 1 m (100 cm) x 30 cm
		4. Zone 4 = 0.75 m (75 cm) x 30 cm
		5. Zone 5 = 0.5 m (50 cm) x 30 cm
2. A meter stick (one meter) will be centered and parallel 1.75 m (175 cm) to the *Launch Line*, and will be taped to the ground.
3. The Distance Accuracy Objects will be a total of 12 (twelve) Nylon Bean Bags.
	1. 5 inch by 5 inch (12.7 cm by 12.7 cm) nylon bean bags from www.orientaltrading.com ([Reinforced Bean Bags # IN-61/4000](http://www.orientaltrading.com/api/search?Ntt=IN-61%2F4000)) or equivalent.
	2. Nylon Bean Bags will be placed inside a Home Depot’s “Homer’s All-Purpose Bucket” (Model # 05GLHD2) or equivalent.
4. A stop watch or other type of timer will be used to record trial times.

**Task Preparation:**

1. During the one minute preparation time, teams will be allowed to arrange the bean bags inside the bucket, and place the bucket anywhere inside the working area.
2. When the prosthesis is prepared, attached and ready or at the end of the one minute preparation time, the designated team member will stand outside of the *Working Area*.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | **2016-2017 MESA USA****National Engineering Design Competition****Prosthetic Arm Challenge 2.1** **Device Performance****150 points** |

**Task Details:**

1. The judge will give the start order and begin the timer.
2. Once the start order is given, the team member may enter the *Working Area* and will have a maximum of 1 minute (60 seconds) to grab and accurately release the bean bags into the *Target Zone*. The judge will notify the student when 30 seconds, 20 seconds and 10 seconds remain.
3. ONLY bean bags inside the bucket may be used for tossing.
4. The trial will end when any of the following occur:
	* 1. The designated team member may call the end of the trial **after and only after** 6 (six) bean bags have been accurately tossed completely inside the boundaries of the *Target Zone*. The designated team member may signal the end of the trial by calling out “done.” The judge will immediately stop the timer and record the time elapsed as the trial time.
		2. One minute has passed. The judge will call out “time” and stop the team from throwing any of the remaining bean bags.
		3. The member has thrown all of the available bean bags. Teams that throw all bean bags without accurately tossing at least 6 (Six) completely inside the boundaries of the *Target Zone* will be given the full trial time.
5. The trial will be declared a mistrial when any of the following occur:
	* 1. When a team member grabs and tosses more than one bean bag at a time; or
		2. When any part of the prosthesis or the team member’s body including hand crosses the *Launch Line* when tossing a bean bag.
6. **At the end of the trial,** the judge will count the number of bean bags inside the boundaries of each scoring zone and record the time used to complete the task.
	1. Bean bag MUST be completely inside the boundaries of the scoring zone in order to receive that score.
7. If any portion of the bean bag overlaps scoring zones, team will receive the lesser of scores for that bean bag.
8. If any portion of the bean bag is outside the boundaries of the *Target Zone*, team will receive 0 for that bean bag.
9. Repeat procedure for 2nd trial. The second trial must be completed by a different team member.
10. The best performance of the two trials will be used in the scoring.

**Assigning Points to Performance:**

1. Scores for scoring zones:
	1. 5 for each bean bag completely inside the boundaries of scoring zone 1
	2. 10 for each bean bag completely inside the boundaries of scoring zone 2
	3. 15 for each bean bag completely inside the boundaries of scoring zone 3
	4. 20 for each bean bag completely inside the boundaries of scoring zone 4
	5. 25 for each bean bag completely inside the boundaries of scoring zone 5
2. Team Distance Accuracy Score (**Dt**) = greatest team score-to-time ratio (score/seconds)
	1. Team score-to-time ratio = total score divided by the trial time
3. Task Winner (**Dw**) = greatest score-to-time ratio (score/seconds) for any team
4. Task Points = Team Score (**Dt**) divided by (**Dw**) times maximum points, or

Task Points = **Dt** / **Dw** x 75 or **Dt** / **Dw** x 50

|  |
| --- |
| **Example:** |
| Task Winner (Dw) = 4.8 | Team 5 Trial 1: 120 score /60 s = 2.0Trial 2: 90 score /40 s = 2.25Team Score (D**t**) = 2.25 | Team 5 PointsMiddle School Score = (2.25/4.8) x 75 = 35.16 ptsHigh School Score = (2.25/4.8) x 50 = 23.44 pts |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | **2016-2017 MESA USA****National Engineering Design Competition****Prosthetic Arm Challenge 2.1** **Device Performance****150 points** |

**2. Object Relocation Task – Pick and Place**

1. The purpose of this task is to demonstrate the prosthetic arm’s ability to:
	* 1. Grab objects of varying size, shape, consistency and weight
		2. Lift objects vertically and move them laterally
		3. Place objects into and remove objects from container
2. The objective of this task is to move objects in and out of the designated container as fast as possible.

**Test Equipment and Configuration:**

***Task Area***

(60 cm)

***The Void***

(60 cm)

***Area 1***

(60 cm)

***Working Area***

74 cm

180 cm

***Area 2***

(60 cm)

1. A standard six foot table with approximate dimensions of 180 cm (L) x 74 cm (W) x 75 cm (H) will be divided with tape into three zones each approximately 60 cm in length. (see Diagram 2)
2. The two end areas will be the Task Areas and each will be used for the container or objects.
3. One of the end areas will be divided into two areas (Areas 1 and 2), each 60 cm x 37 cm. One will be the starting task area and the other finishing task area.

**Diagram 2**

1. The area in the middle will be The Void.
2. A perimeter approximately one (1) meter around the table may be marked. Only team member actively participating during the task will be permitted in this Working Area.
3. The container to be used for this task will be a plastic crate with approximate dimensions of 10.5ʺ H x 13.75ʺ W x 15.25ʺ L (similar to the Sterilite milk crate #1692). This container will be lined to prevent objects from falling out.
4. The 10 objects to be placed into and removed from the container are as follows:
5. One - Pack of 100 Index Cards (15 points)
6. One – Composition Notebook, approx. 100 pages, approx.. page size 7.5ʺ L x 9.5ʺ W (15 points)
7. One - empty 0.5 L bottle of water (15 points)
8. One – 12” ruler (15 points)
9. One - Unsharpened No. 2 pencil (15 points)
10. One - CD or DVD (15 points)
11. 4 unidentified objects (25 points each). These objects will vary in size but will be no heavier than 500 g and would not require the artificial fingers to open wider than 3 inches to grasp, lift and release the object.
12. A stopwatch or other type of timer will be used to record trial times.

**Task Preparation:**

1. During the one minute preparation time, teams may choose to place the objects in either Area 1 or Area 2, and may choose how to place the objects within that area. The container will be placed by the team anywhere in the Container Area.
2. When the prosthesis is prepared, attached and ready or at the end of the one minute preparation time, the designated team member will stand outside of the *Working Area*.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | **2016-2017 MESA USA****National Engineering Design Competition****Prosthetic Arm Challenge 2.1** **Device Performance****150 points** |

**Task Details:**

1. The judge will give the start order and begin the timer.
2. The team member may enter the Working Area and will have a maximum of 1 minute (60 seconds) to complete the task. The judge will notify the student when they have 30 seconds, 20 seconds, and 10 seconds remaining.
3. During the task, the team member will grab one object at a time to place into the container. Once an item is placed into the container, one object at a time can be removed and placed into the chosen Finishing Area.
4. An object may be slid to the edge of the table to be lifted. An object cannot be slid into The Void. An object slid or moved over into The Void must be returned (slid/carried) to the Starting Area using only the prosthesis before attempting to place them into the container.
5. An object that is dropped in The Void, outside the container, or on the floor is out of play and cannot be re-attempted. A penalty equal to the point value of the object will be assessed.
6. The object MUST be carried across the entirety of The Void by the artificial fingers of the device. Objects cannot be thrown across The Void.
7. The prosthesis must break the open plane of the crate before releasing an object.
8. An item must be released and the prosthesis must be fully outside of the open plane of the crate before attempting to remove the object.
9. If the prosthesis does not break the plane either releasing an object or retrieving an object, then that object becomes a “dead” object and cannot be moved to the finish area and will result in no points for scoring.
10. The trial will end when any of the following occur:
	1. The designated team member may call the end of the trial after and only after at least 5 (five) items have been placed into the Finishing Area. The designated team member may signal the end of the trial by calling out “done” or “time.” The judge will immediately stop the timer and record the time elapsed as the trial time.
	2. One minute has passed. The judge will call out “time” and stop the team from attempting any more objects.
	3. The member has attempted to move all of the available objects. Teams that attempt all of the objects without moving at least 5 (five) into the Finishing Area will be given the full trial time.
11. At the end of the trial:
	1. Any object held by the device when time is called will not count. This object will not be considered for scoring purposes.
	2. Any object broken will not count. These objects will be assessed a penalty equal to that if they had dropped the object.
	3. The judge will record the time needed to complete the task.
	4. The judge will record which items have be placed into the Finishing Area.
	5. The judge will record any broken or dropped items for penalty points.
12. Repeat procedure for 2nd trial. The second trial must be completed by a different team member.
13. The best performance of the two trials will be used in the scoring.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | **2016-2017 MESA USA****National Engineering Design Competition****Prosthetic Arm Challenge 2.1** **Device Performance****150 points** |

**Assigning Points to Performance:**

1. Scoring:
2. 15 points for identified items (Index Cards, Notebook, Water Bottle, Ruler, Pencil, CD)
3. 25 points for the four unidentified objects
4. 100 points for successfully moving all 10 objects into the Finishing Area
5. Team Object Relocation Score (Pt)  = greatest team score-to-time ratio (score/seconds)
6. Team score-to-time ratio = total score less any penalties divided by the trial time
7. Task winner (Pw) = Greatest Team Objection Relocation Score for any team
8. Task Points = Team score (Pt) divided by (Pw), times max points, or
Task Points = Pt / Pw x 75 or Pt / Pw x 50

|  |
| --- |
| **Example:** |
| Task WinnerTask Winner (Pw) = 4.8 | Team 5Trial 1: 120 score /60 s = 2.0Trial 2: 90 score /40 s = 2.25Team Score (Pt) = 2.25 | Team 5 PointsMiddle School Score = (2.25/4.8) x 75 = 35.16 ptsHigh School Score = (2.25/4.8) x 50 = 23.44 pts |

**3. Dexterity Task – Nuts and Bolts**

1. The purpose of this task is to demonstrate the prosthetic arm’s ability to:
	* 1. Demonstrate fine motor control
		2. Grasp small objects
		3. Rotate an object around an axis
2. The objective of this task is to secure all nuts as far as possible onto the corresponding bolts as fast as possible.

**Test Equipment and Configuration:**

1. A standard six foot table with approximate dimensions of 180 cm (L) x 74 cm (W) x 75 cm (H) will be used.
2. A perimeter approximately one (1) meter around the table may be marked with tape. Only the team member actively participating during the task will be permitted in this *Working Area*.
3. A testing device will be placed on top of the table.
4. The testing device will be made from 2 (two) 1 foot x 6 inch x 1 inch boards attached perpendicular to each other (See diagrams 3 and 4).
5. Standard Lumber

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Nominal | Actual | Actual-Metric |
| 1ʺ x 6ʺ | ¾ʺ x 5 ½ʺ | 19mm x 140mm |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | **2016-2017 MESA USA****National Engineering Design Competition****Prosthetic Arm Challenge 2.1** **Device Performance****150 points** |

**Diagram 3**

1’ Length

**TOP VIEW**

**Diagram 4**

1. The vertical board will have 3 (three) pre-drilled holes made from drill bits A, B, and C as described below (see Diagram 5). The center of the holes will be centered vertically on the board, and evenly spaced horizontally across the board.

1ʹ Length

6ʺ Height

A

B

C

**FRONT VIEW**

**Diagram 5**

1. Hole “A” = US Drill Bit 23/64″
(0.359375 in or 9.128125 mm) – Home Depot Model 48-89-2729 / Store SKU # 767398 or equivalent
2. Hole “B” = US Drill Bit or Paddle Bit 1/2″ (0.5 in or 12.7 mm) – Home Depot Model 48-89-2738 / Store SKU # 771919, Model # 88824 / Store SKU # 959154, or equivalent
3. Hole “C” = US Drill Bit or Paddle Bit 11/16″ (0.6875 in or 17.4625 mm) – Home Depot Model # 48-89-2744 / Store SKU # 774206, Model # 1768419 / Store SKU # 958190, or equivalent
4. Bolts and Nuts are as follows (Metric, Zinc plated class 8.8 steel from www.boltdepot.com):
5. Set “A” – (1) 8mm x 1.25mm x 70mm hex bolt and corresponding 8mm hex nut

**Bolt Image**

* 1. Product #6230, Product #4788
1. Set “B” – (1) 12mm x 1.5mm x 70mm hex bolt and corresponding 12mm hex nut
	1. Product #6341, Product #6877
2. Set “C” – (1) 16mm x 2.0mm x 70 mm hex bolt and corresponding 16mm hex nut
	1. Product #6292, Product #7360
3. Measurement Equipment: 4 inch digital Caliper
	1. HarborFreight.com, [Item #47256](http://www.harborfreight.com/4-inch-digital-caliper-47256.html) or equivalent

**Task Preparation:**

1. During the one minute preparation time:
2. The testing device may be placed anywhere on the table*.*
3. The bolts and nuts may be placed anywhere on the table*.*
4. When the prosthesis is prepared, attached and ready or at the end of the one minute preparation time, the designated team member will stand outside of the Working Area.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | **2016-2017 MESA USA****National Engineering Design Competition****Prosthetic Arm Challenge 2.1** **Device Performance****150 points** |

**Task Details:**

1. The judge will give the start order and begin the timer.
2. The team member may enter the *Working Area* and will have 1 minute (60 seconds) to secure all three (3) hex bolts and nuts. The judge will notify the team when 30 seconds, 20 seconds and 10 seconds remain.
3. The task will proceed as follows:
4. The member will grab one of the bolts with the device and insert the bolt into the corresponding pre-drilled hole.
5. The member will then grab either the corresponding nut with the prosthesis and secure the nut onto the bolt, or another bolt and insert that bolt into the corresponding hole.
6. The nut must completely pass a marking on the bolt to be scored in the higher scoring zone.
7. The member may hold the bolt with the unencumbered hand by holding the bolt head ONLY. The member may only hold the bolt head in place and is not allowed to twist, screw, or push in any way.
8. If a bolt or nut is dropped, it must be picked up by the prosthesis.
9. Once a nut is secured, the artificial fingers of the prosthesis must be used to advance the nut further on the bolt.
10. The trial will end when any of the following occur:
	* 1. The designated team member may call the end of the trial **after and only after** all three (3) bolts and all three (3) nuts have been secured. The designated team member may signal the end of the trial by calling out “done.” The judge will immediately stop the timer and record the time elapsed as the trial time.
		2. One minute has passed. The judge will call out “time” and record the full trial time of 60 seconds.
		3. If an item is dropped and is not able to be picked up, the judge will record the full trial time of 60 seconds.
		4. If the team member is found to have aided in the securing or screwing of the bolt, a MISTRIAL will be declared
11. Repeat procedure for 2nd trial. The second trial must be completed by a different team member.
12. The best performance of the two trials will be used in the scoring.

**Assigning Points to Performance:**

1. Scores for Scoring Zones on each bolt
	1. 25 for each nut secured on a bolt
	2. 50 for each nut secured past 1.0 cm
	3. 75 for each nut secured past 2.0 cm
2. Team Dexterity Score (X**t**) = greatest team score-to-time ratio (pt/sec)
	1. Team score-to-time ratio = total score divided by the trial time
3. Dexterity Task winner (X**w**) = greatest score-to-time ratio (pt/sec) for any team
4. Task Points = Team Dexterity Score (X**t**) divided by (X**w**), times 50 points

*Task Points =Xt/Xw x 50*

|  |
| --- |
| **Example:** |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Task WinnerWinning Dexterity Score(X**w**) = 3.00 | Team 5 Trial 1: 210 score/120 s = 1.75Trial 2: 210 score/100 s = 2.10Team Dexterity Score (X**t**) = 2.10 | Team 5 PointsHigh School Score = (2.10/3.00) x 50 = 35 pts |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | **2016-2017 MESA USA****National Engineering Design Competition****Prosthetic Arm Challenge 2.1** **Technical Paper****100 points** |

**Objective**

To offer a close examination of your team’s engineering design process, especially as it relates to all major design choices and STEM concepts.

***Deadline***

* *Local/State. Check* with your local MESA office for the procedure for local/state competitions.
* *National Competition. For teams advancing to the national competition,* the technical paper must be submitted via e-mail to Pennsylvania MESA on or before 4:00 pm in your local time zone, on **June 5, 2017** (subject to change)**.** Papers should be submitted by a student team member. The papers will be judged and scored prior to the National Competition. Late papers will be assessed a 25 point deduction from their paper score, no papers will be accepted after **June 7, 2017.**
* A PDF version of the final paper must be e-mailed to: Pennsylvania MESA, Head Judge at nationalcompetition@mesausa.org. Check the MESA USA national website at mesausa.org for further information. ***Please note that the host and Head Judge are not responsible for any Internet service delays or misguided papers. It is the responsibility of the student team members to ensure that the paper is delivered successfully prior to the deadline.***

**Length**

The paper should not be less than five pages or more than fifteen pages in length (excluding the title page and appendix). Thorough but concise papers are encouraged.

**Conventions (Format, Language, Grammar, etc.)**

Each of the standards listed below, though they are scored at a lower level (2 pts max), make an enormous difference in your team’s ability to create a well-organized, compelling paper. Don’t forget to check your paper length, make sure all sections are included, provide a title page, and adhere to the font, spacing, layout and grammar standards below:

1. The paper length, not including title pages and Appendix, should be 5 to 15 pages.
2. Remember to include the key sections in your paper (listed below)
3. Your title page should include authors/ team members, school, MESA state and date.
4. Be sure to use 1” margins and double space your text using 12 pt. Times New Roman font.
5. Remember to use spelling, sentence, paragraphing and transition conventions that are appropriate to standard business English throughout the paper.

**Written Presentation**

The paper should be typed, double-spaced, and have a cover sheet. When possible, graphics should be computer generated. The above conventions should be followed. Readability will help your paper achieve a higher score in the judging.

**Contents**

The paper should include the following sections (see the R*esource Document* for descriptions of these):

1. Title Page (not included in the page count)
2. Abstract
3. Table of Contents
4. Introduction
5. Discussion
6. Conclusions
7. Recommendations
8. Bibliography
9. Acknowledgments
10. Appendix (not included in the page count)

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | **2016-2017 MESA USA****National Engineering Design Competition****Prosthetic Arm Challenge 2.1** **Technical Paper****100 points** |

**Electronic Format**

Technical papers MUST be submitted in Portable Document Format (.PDF). Teams shall ensure the submitted final product can be read using Adobe Reader (10.0 or newer) and that it matches your original, printed document. The maximum file size for submission will be 9MB.

**Authorship**

The authors must be members of the student team participating in the competition. The paper must be the original work of the authors. If professional assistance was sought in any aspect of the design process, or the creation of the paper or poster/presentation, authors should specifically explain how in this paper and also include their names in the appropriate section.

**Criteria for Evaluation and Scoring**

Shown below are the main areas that will be considered in the evaluation of the technical paper. See the scoring materials section for specific details and overall criteria.

* Discussion of Design Process Methods / Approach (30 pts)
* STEM Concepts and Analysis (30 pts)
* Quality and Thoroughness (30 pts)
* Conventions (10)

With the scoring criteria rubric, please keep in mind that judges will score all design goals based on the evidence you provide. For all items except for the conventions, these will be scored on a scale of 0 to 5. While the judges’ rubrics may be more specific, please know that most scores will generally be based on the following:

* (5)-Exceptional. Exceeds all aspects of the standard when possible.
* (4)-Meets all aspects of the standard very effectively.
* (3)-Meets all aspects of the standard somewhat effectively;
* (2)-Almost meets the standard. May be inaccurate or unclear.
* (1)-Attempts to meet the standard but provides information which is irrelevant or unnecessary.
* (0)-No attempt appears to have been made to meet this standard.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | **2016-2017 MESA USA****National Engineering Design Competition****Prosthetic Arm Challenge 2.1** **Academic Poster Presentation****150 points** |

##### Overall Objective

To overview the functionality of the team’s final device. Teams will use a poster and other visual aids to present their device and relevant aspects of the design process from their technical paper. The focus of the display and presentation should only be the actual device used for competition. Students will organize and deliver a focused, coherent presentation using the poster to provide an overview of the development of their design including research, experimentation and conclusions. The judges should understand the speech and become engaged in the presentation. Displays and speeches must be the original work of the team. The poster and presentation will be worth 75 points each.

**Materials Provided**

* Easel or ample wall space for poster – or cafeteria style table (approximately 30” x 72” x 29”).
If a table is provided teams will need to provide their own poster stand.

**Poster Requirements**

1. Size and Type. Teams must design a single 36” by 48” poster for the National Event. - State and local events may opt to allow a 36” x 48” tri-fold presentation board.

48”

36”

Poster example only

1. Posters should include a title at the top of their poster.
2. Posters should include an official MESA logo (contact state office for a logo).
3. A team section must be included and should include:
	1. School Name
	2. Grade level representing
	3. State representing
	4. Team members’ names.
4. Posters should include the following Poster elements (see the *Resource Document* for descriptions):
	1. Abstract
	2. Design Features and Drawings including:
		1. One 11” x 17 “ Orthographic drawing
		2. Two graphics or isometric drawings that clearly highlight key design features
		3. One Programming Logic Diagram
	3. Results and Data including:
		1. Two data tables
		2. Two data charts or graphs
	4. Analysis
	5. Conclusion and Recommendations
5. All major sections should be clearly labeled.
6. Your team’s Engineering Design Notebook should be provided during your presentation – so that your team, or judges, can refer to it.
7. Electronic media are not allowed.
8. No element of your school’s previous year’s display may be reused. All elements must be original for this year.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | **2016-2017 MESA USA****National Engineering Design Competition****Prosthetic Arm Challenge 2.1** **Academic Poster Presentation****150 points** |

**Presentation Rules**

1. Presentation attire will be the official MESA USA National Engineering Design Competition t-shirts.
A 5-point deduction will be applied for teams not wearing the official t-shirts.
2. The Design Poster, props, models, design notebook or other visual aids should be used.
3. Each team may speak for a maximum of ten (10) minutes. A 5-point deduction will be applied for presentations exceeding 10 minutes. Judges will expect to regularly hear directly from all team members throughout the presentation.
4. If time and space permits, teams may invite audience members at their discretion to attend the presentation. Once the presentation begins, audience interruptions will not be permitted. During the judge's question-and-answer period, no audience questions are allowed.
5. Presentations should include the following Presentation elements (see the *Resource Document* for descriptions of these):
	1. Introduction
	2. STEM Explanations and Quality
	3. Design Process and Approach
	4. Analysis
	5. Conclusion
6. All key concepts should be well understood by all team members. The use of any advanced concepts, techniques, algorithms or other materials that would not normally be included in middle or high school subjects must be explained. Whether these ideas were incorporated based on suggestions by people you sought out in your research, by volunteer STEM professionals at your school, or through other advanced text or web resources, your presentation must reflect the team’s comprehension and capacity to explain such concepts.
7. Teams will be randomly selected to determine speaking order.
8. Students must give their presentations in the order drawn. No exceptions or late arrivals are allowed.
9. Judges will provide time signals at 3 minutes, 1 minute, 30 seconds, and 5 seconds before time is called.
10. Once the presentation is complete, the judges will conduct a five (5) minute question-and-answer period. These questions will be brief and to the point, and solely to ascertain student knowledge of the project.

**Criteria for Evaluation and Scoring of Academic Poster Presentation (150 pts)**

Shown below are the main areas that will be considered in the evaluation of the poster (75 pts) and the presentation (75 pts). See the reference documents and scoring materials section for specific details and overall criteria.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Team Poster (75 pts.) includes: * Abstract (20)
* Design Features and Drawings (15)
* Results Data and Analysis (30)
* Organization and Creativity (10)
 | Team Presentation (75 pts.) includes:* Introduction (10)
* STEM Explanations and Quality (25)
* Design Process / Approach (25)
* Oral and Visual Overall (15)
 |

With the scoring criteria rubric, please keep in mind that judges will score all design goals based on the evidence you provide. For all items, these will be scored on a scale of 0 to 5. While the final judges’ rubrics may be more specific, in general, the 0-to-5 scale will generally be based on the following:

* (5)-Exceptional. *Exceeds* all aspects of the standard *when possible*.
* (4)-Meets all aspects of the standard *very* effectively.
* (3)-Meets all aspects of the standard *somewhat* effectively;
* (2)-*Almost* meets the standard. May be inaccurate or unclear.
* (1)-*Attempts* to meet the standard but provides information which is irrelevant or unnecessary.
* (0)-*No* attempt appears to have been made to meet this standard.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | **2016-2017 MESA USA****National Engineering Design Competition****Prosthetic Arm Challenge 2.1** **Device Performance****150 points** |

Inspection and Performance Datasheet

MESA Center: MESA School: Level: MS HS

Advisor/Teacher: Student Team:

**INSPECTION LIST: YES NO**

Device is a generalized tool and includes all parts necessary to accomplish all tasks ☐ ☐

Includes at least two artificial fingers that open and close. Only one finger is required to move ☐ ☐

Fingers grab and release the specific objects for each task ☐ ☐

Fingers are controlled by an Arduino microprocessor which is programmed with the Arduino language ☐ ☐

Team has demonstrated immobilization of the wrist, hand and fingers ☐ ☐

Device is not controlled by the fingers, hands, or wrist on either hand ☐ ☐

The team provided a complete itemized budget with references and documentation ☐ ☐

Device does not exceed the $80 pre-tax price limit ☐ ☐

Device Mass (not including all replacement parts and materials): \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_g Device Total Cost: $\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

**PERFORMANCE:**

**Distance Accuracy Task**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Trial 1** | Zone 1 | Zone 2 | Zone 3 | Zone 4 | Zone 5 |  | **Trial 2** | Zone 1 | Zone 2 | Zone 3 | Zone 4 | Zone 5 |
| # of bean bags |  |  |  |  |  |  | # of bean bags |  |  |  |  |  |
| Trial Time (*00.00* seconds) |  |  | Trial Time (*00.00* seconds) |  |

**Object Relocation Task**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Trial 1:**Trial Time:\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ (s) Check Items in finish area and circle items dropped/broken ☐ Index Cards (15) ☐ Notebook (15)☐ 12” Ruler (15) ☐ 0.5 L bottle (15)☐ Pencil (15) ☐ CD or DVD (15)☐ Wild Card Item 1 (25) ☐ Wild Card Item 2 (25)☐ Wild Card Item 3 (25) ☐ Wild Card Item 4 (25)☐ All object bonus (100) Penalty Points:\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ | **Trial 2:**Trial Time:\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ (s) Check Items in finish area and circle items dropped/broken ☐ Index Cards (15) ☐ Notebook (15)☐ 12” Ruler (15) ☐ 0.5 L bottle (15)☐ Pencil (15) ☐ CD or DVD (15)☐ Wild Card Item 1 (25) ☐ Wild Card Item 2 (25)☐ Wild Card Item 3 (25) ☐ Wild Card Item 4 (25)☐ All object bonus (100) Penalty Points:\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ |

**Dexterity Task (high school only)**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Trial 1:** Circle appropriate point valueBolt 1 points: 25 50 75 Total Points (P): Bolt 2 points: 25 50 75 Bolt 3 points: 25 50 75 Trial Time: (s) | **Trial 2:** Circle appropriate point valueBolt 1 points: 25 50 75 Total Points (P): Bolt 2 points: 25 50 75 Bolt 3 points: 25 50 75 Trial Time: (s) |
| Points for Scoring Zones:* 25 for each nut secured on a bolt
* 50 for each nut secured past 1.0 cm
* 75 for each nut secured past 2.0 cm
 |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| :A_SMLCOL.TIFTechnical Paper Scoring Criteria(MESA USA NEDC PAC 2.1)  | Team:School:Center/State: |

***Overview****:*Values circled reflect the degree of evidence for design goals: (5) Exceptional/Exceeds Standard When Possible; (4) Meets Very Effectively: (3) Meets Somewhat Effectively; (2) Almost Meets/Inaccurate or Unclear; (1) Attempts/Irrelevant; (0) No attempt.  *Please note: to meet any design goal below, all aspects listed in the standard (i.e. row) must be met.*

|  |
| --- |
| **Discussion of Design Process Methods / Approach. (30)** a-Design Process , b-Reason for design choices, c-Efficacy of each stage, d- Hardware Integration, e-Software Development, f- Conclusion/Recommendations. |
| 1. Clear overview of team’s **design process.**
 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 0 |
| 1. Clearly explains how **design process/testing informed all major design choices.**
 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 0 |
| 1. Details **nature & efficacy of each stage** of design process reflects (including brainstorming, research, etc.).
 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 0 |
| 1. Detailed discussion of process used to integrate electronics hardware into the function of the design.
 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 0 |
| 1. Discussion of the development of the software applications used in the electronic components, including the logic used to achieve programming goals. Program Pseudocode included in appendix.
 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 0 |
| 1. Conclusion and recommendations demonstrate a thorough reflection on the process and final design and includes specific suggestions for further development.
 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 0 |
| **Subtotal** | **/30** |
| **STEM Concepts & Analysis. (30)** a- Math/Science Concepts, b-Engineering/Tech Concepts, c-Data Presented Visually, d-Data exploration, e- all design variables, f- specific variables. |
| 1. Precise, succinct explanation of 3-4 Math/Physics/Science concepts that informed design, including advanced concepts if used.
 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 0 |
| 1. Precise, succinct explanation of 3-4 Engineering/Technology challenges/solutions, including advanced concepts if used.
 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 0 |
| 1. Very relevant **tables/graphs/ reflect key data** for each major design prototype or modification. Includes at least 3.
 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 0 |
| 1. Paper includes related data analysis or operations used to **explore the data.**
 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 0 |
| 1. All relevant **design variables** clearly explained, including those not addressed.
 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 0 |
| 1. Clear explanation of **specific variables** team addressed/optimized for and why.
 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 0 |
| **Subtotal** | **/30** |
| **Quality & Thoroughness. (30)** a-All key sections, b- Extra Mile, c- Cost-Labor Summary, d- Notebook Quality, e-Testing Procedures, f-Relevant Bibliography  |
| 1. **All Key Sections included:** Abstract, Introduction, Discussion, Conclusions
 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 0 |
| 1. **Extra Mile.** Clear description of extra measures team made to be more conscientious in ensuring design’s quality went beyond the call of the specifications.
 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 0 |
| 1. **Cost-Labor Summary.** Very detailed list of student time commitment as well as volunteer support.
 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 0 |
| 1. At least 3 tidy, scanned/photocopied **images from design notebooks** reflecting thoroughness & planning.
 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 0 |
| 1. Very clear description of **testing procedures.** Includes 2-3 relevant diagrams or pictures.
 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 0 |
| 1. **Bibliography:** At least 8 highly relevant sources that are appropriately formatted (APA, IEEE, or other standard format).
 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 0 |
| **Subtotal** | **/30** |
| **Conventions. (10)** a-Length, b-Sections, c-Title Page, d-Font & Layout, e- Grammar. *In this section only, a two (2) reflects fully meeting the standard; a one (1) reflects that it was almost met; and a zero (0) reflects zero evidence addressing the standard.* |
| * 1. Length: 5-15 pages (not including cover, title page & appendix)
 |   | 2 | 1 | 0 |
| * 1. All Supporting Sections included: References, Acknowledgements, Appendix
 | 2 | 1 | 0 |
| * 1. Title page includes authors/team members, school, MESA state & date
 | 2 | 1 | 0 |
| * 1. Font & Layout: double-spaced, 12, Times New Roman. 1” margins.
 | 2 | 1 | 0 |
| * 1. Grammar, spelling, sentence, paragraphing & transition usage are appropriate to standard business English throughout the paper.
 | 2 | 1 | 0 |
| **Subtotal** | **/10** |
| *Judge Name:* | **TOTAL** | **/100** |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| :A_SMLCOL.TIFposter presentation Scoring Criteria(MESA USA NEDC PAC 2.0)  | Team:School:Center/State: |

Academic Poster Presentation Scoring Criteria (Part A + B = 150 points)

1. **POSTER/DISPLAY CRITERIA (75 points)**

***Overview****:*Values circled reflect the degree of evidence for design goals: (5) Exceptional/Exceeds Standard When Possible; (4) Meets Very Effectively: (3) Meets Somewhat Effectively; (2) Almost Meets/Inaccurate or Unclear; (1) Attempts/Irrelevant; (0) No attempt.  *Please note: to meet any design goal below, all aspects listed in the standard (i.e. row) must be met.*

|  |
| --- |
| **Abstract. (20)** a-Length & Audience, b-Problem & Purpose, c- Methods, Results & Analysis, d- Conclusions & Key Features. |
| 1. **Length**: 200-250 words. Engages & informs **audience**. Written very clearly & succinctly using minimal tech. terms.
 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 0 |
| 1. Very clearly restates **design problem & summarizes team purpose** or motivation.
 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 0 |
| 1. Very clearly summarizes **team’s design approach/methodology** & testing **results & analysis.**
 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 0 |
| 1. Very clearly **summarizes conclusions & final design’s key features.**
 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 0 |
| **Subtotal** | **/20** |
| **Design Features & Drawings. (20)**  a-Key Features; neatness & clarity; labeling; b- Orthographic Size & Scale; c-Key design feature graphics; d-Logic Diagram & Functionality |
| 1. **Key Features** of final design prominently identified in poster. Illustrations are **detailed, easily read & interpreted** & neatly done. Very effective use of **labels/pointers** to highlight features of device or data.
 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 0 |
| 1. Orthographic drawing is at most **11”x17”** & includes at least **front, side and top view** &design **dimensions** & **scale** with Title Card. Orth. drawing **details all key parts** of final design very clearly.
 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 0 |
| 1. Two (2) graphics that clearly highlight key design features
 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 0 |
| 1. One (1) Programming Logic Diagram clearly labeled to outline prosthetic functionality.
 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 0 |
| **Subtotal** | **/20** |
| **Results Data & Analysis. (25)** a-Data Tables/Charts/Graphs; b-Clear Interpretation & Inferences Based on Evidence; c-Strengths/Shortcomings; d-Recommendations; e-Standard Structure. |
| 1. Results section includes (2) two very relevant, compelling **data tables** and (2) two very relevant, compelling data **charts or graphs**.
 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 0 |
| 1. Analysis section includes: interpretation/analysis of data very clear & relevant; all inferences follow very logically from data/evidence.
 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 0 |
| 1. Analysis section explains design’s strengths & at least two (2) shortcomings.
 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 0 |
| 1. Recommendations include three (3) ideas for future work. Written in first person w/ active verbs.
 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 0 |
| 1. Standard Structure Overall. Results section does not include interpretation. Conclusion & Recommendations include no new data.
 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 0 |
| **Subtotal** | **/25** |
| **Layout. (10)** a- Compelling Layout., b- Size, Title & Team |
| 1. **Compelling Layout:** Very good use of space, neat, uncluttered, very easy to follow. Display captures attention very holds interest very effectively.
 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 0 |
| 1. **Title, Team, Size & Sections.** Includes clear, compelling title/header & an official MESA logo. Team card with School/Members/State prominently displayed. Poster/display size maximum: one 36”x 48” tri-folds OR 1 single poster 36” x 48.” All major sections included.
 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 0 |
| **Subtotal** | **/10** |
| **DISPLAY Total** | **/75** |

Comments:

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| 1. **PRESENTATION CRITERIA (75 points)**
 | TEAM:SCHOOL:CENTER/STATE: |

***Overview****:*Values circled reflect the degree of evidence for design goals: (5) Exceptional/Exceeds Standard When Possible; (4) Meets Very Effectively: (3) Meets Somewhat Effectively; (2) Almost Meets/Inaccurate or Unclear; (1) Attempts/Irrelevant; (0) No attempt.  *Please note: to meet any design goal below, all aspects listed in the standard (i.e. row) must be met.*

|  |
| --- |
| **Introduction. (10)**  a-Team Introduction, responsibilities & design rational; b-Design problem restatement with background. |
| 1. Creative introduction of **team members**, **responsibilities & design rationale.**
 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 0 |
| 1. Clear **restatement of problem** with key design parameters/constraints and sufficient background (key facts and previous work)
 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 0 |
| **Subtotal** | **/10** |
| **STEM Explanations & Quality. (25)** a-Factors Not Addressed, b-Factors Addressed, c-Math/Science Concepts, d-Engineering/Tech Concepts, e-electronics integration discussion |
| 1. Clear explanation of relevant **factors the team chose not to address** and why.
 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 0 |
| 1. Clear explanation of **specific factors/ variables team addressed**/optimized for and why.
 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 0 |
| 1. A precise, succinct description of 3-4 **Math/Physics/Science concepts** that informed design, including advanced concepts if used.
 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 0 |
| 1. A precise, succinct description of 3-4 **Engineering / Technology** challenges or solutions, incl. advanced concepts if used.
 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 0 |
| 1. A precise, succinct description of the integration of the electronics to accomplish the specific tasks.
 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 0 |
| **Subtotal** | **/25** |
| **Design Process / Approach. (25)** a-Approach, Methods & Timeline, b- Research impact; c- Design & Testing Impact; d- Notebook as visual aid; e- Models/Prototypes and Design Notebook |
| 1. Brief overview of team’s design **approach, methods** and **timeline.**
 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 0 |
| 1. Clear explanation of **how team’s research informed at least two (2) design choices.**
 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 0 |
| 1. Clear explanation of **how design & testing informed at least four (4) design choices**.
 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 0 |
| 1. **All observations** & conclusions well-thought out, accurate, & clearly **follow directly from research & design process.**
 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 0 |
| 1. Two (2) creative models or prototypes, and the engineering design notebook very clearly illustrate the evolution of their design.
 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 0 |
| **Subtotal** | **/25** |
| **Oral & Visual Overall. (15)** a-Shared presentation/appearance, b-Projected Voice/Eye Contact/Design Notebook used as a visual aid, c- Engaging Focus/Flow. |
| 1. ALL students **share equally in presentation**. Student **demeanor & presence** well suited for event.
 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 0 |
| 1. **ALL voices heard** & understood. **Eye contact** is distributed across the audience. Engineering Design Notebook used as a visual aid.
 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 0 |
| 1. **Engaging Focus & Flow.** Team stayed very focused on the topic & transitioned very smoothly from point to point. **Engaging** activities & discussion captured & maintained audience/judge attention very well.
 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 0 |
| **Subtotal** | **/15** |
| Presentation Total (Pre-deduction) | /75 |
| **Deductions** (e.g. 5 pts if not wearing National T-shirt; 5 pts if not within time limits) |  - /10 |
| **PRESENTATION Total** | **/75** |

*Judge Name:*

Comments: